

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25274-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.09.2017

Amarjeet Mann

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Hari Ram.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.690 dated 06.06.2017, registered under Sections 323, 379-B and 506 of the Indian Penal Code at Section 10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, at P.S. City Panipat.

Heard.

On 17.07.2017, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case bearing FIR No. 13 dated 15.01.2015, registered at Police Station Assandh on the complainant of petitioner, the police arrested Anil and Pardeep; presented challan against them; and after completion of trial, they had been convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 3½ years. As a counter-blast, the present FIR was got registered against the petitioner through daughter of their maternal aunt (mother's sister). This is a pressure practice adopted by Anil and Pardeep to pressurize the petitioner to compromise the earlier

case.

Notice of motion for 19.09.2017.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the police and join investigation within a week. In the event of his arrest being required, he shall be released on interim bail till the next date, subject to his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, he shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)(i) to (iv) Cr.P.C. failing which he shall lose the benefit of interim bail allowed to him.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 17.07.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

19.09.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No