

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.26077 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.05.2017**

MANOHAR LAL

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. D.S. Kahlon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

None for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the charge was framed on 04.08.2016 and thereafter first date for taking evidence was fixed on 15.09.2016. Trial has not been concluded within a period of 60 days after fixing the first date for taking evidence of the prosecution, therefore, petitioner is entitled to bail in terms of Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. An application under Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. was moved before the trial Court, but the same has been kept in abeyance due to pendency of the present petition.

Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, the present petition is allowed to be withdrawn with a direction to the trial Court to decide the pending application under Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with a direction to the trial Court to decide the pending application under Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. in accordance with law, without being influenced by any of the contention raised in the present petition.

May 01, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No