

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-25134 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 19, 2017

Pargat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.166 dated 27.05.2016, under Section 10 of POCSO Act (offence under Sections 354-D, 306, 511 of IPC added later on and charges framed only under Sections 354-D, 506 of IPC and Section 12 of POCSO Act), registered at Police Station Rania, Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 13.06.2016 and has already undergone for a considerable period since then. It is submitted that

the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and the conclusion of trial will take sufficient time. It is also contended that the matter has been resolved among themselves (the factum which is not disputed by counsel for the complainant) and the complainant has no objection in grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 13.06.2016 and that matter has been resolved by the parties themselves, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

December 19, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No