

**247-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 25119 of 2017
Date of Decision: August 01, 2017**

Inder Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Himanshu Rao, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Karan Bhullar, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in D.D.R. No. 17, dated January 13, 2014, under Sections 324/323/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, in case F.I.R. No. 05, dated January 11, 2014, under Sections 324/323/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of D.D.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a case of version and cross version.

[3]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a

settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below, in the F.I.R. Case, for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, vide report dated May 11, 2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties involved in the F.I.R. case and cross version case is genuine and without any pressure.

[4]. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are represented by their counsel.

[5]. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[6]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. D.D.R. No. 17, dated January 13, 2014, under Sections 324/323/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, in case F.I.R. No. 05, dated January 11, 2014, under Sections 324/323/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

August 01, 2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

- | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : | Yes/ No |
| 2. Whether reportable : | Yes/ No |