

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-25840 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 25th APRIL, 2017

Tara Chand & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Ms. Tanvi jain, Advocate
Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. G. S. Salwara, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. J. P. Sharma, Advocate for

Mr. D. S. Nain, Advocate for respondent No.5.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Following is the prayer in this petition :

“Petition u/s 482 Cr.P.C. for directing the official respondents to give Police protection to the petitioners to working in their field peacefully and to stop the respondents No.5 to 8 not to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioners in any manner and against their wishes and to determent by using force on them or by adopting unlawful means;

And/or

Further for directing the respondents No.1 to 4 to register criminal case against the respondents No.5

to 8 who were beaten the petitioners badly and also abuse the petitioners but Police did not taking any action in connivance with the accused party;

And/or

To pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case."

Following is the relevant portion of the reply filed by the State:

"3. That on receiving the information regarding the quarrel for possession of land police took preventive action under Section 107/151 Cr.P.C. against both the parties. During enquiry statements of witnesses were recorded. It come forwarded during enquiry that no quarrel between the petitioners and respondent No.5 took place during the night of 24.6.2016. On the spot the possession is of Tara Chand. After taking the preventive action against both the parties, the complaint which was received was disposed and at present in this regard is pending. During enquiry it is found that respondent No.5 had not extended any threat to the petitioner No.1. During enquiry no material came forward that petitioners or their family have any danger to their life and liberty from respondents No.5 to 8."

In view of the above reply the cause of action has come to an end and nothing required to be further done in this case. Learned

counsel for the petitioners is at liberty to take such steps in accordance with law if any grievance left out against the offending parties.

Disposed of as such with liberty as aforesaid.

25th APRIL, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>