

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25785 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 27, 2017**

Ghanshyam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Dr. Anand Kumar Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Addl. A.G, Haryana
assisted by ASI Satbir Singh, Police Station
Jatusana, District Rewari.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C are to the effect that on the basis of a written complaint made to the Judicial Magistrate and exercising powers under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C the present case was got registered on the allegations that the complainant had sold his ancestral land and the sale proceeds were deposited in the State bank in his account and that the accused on fraudulent representation that they shall buy him another piece of land have enticed and taken away a sum of Rs.86,48,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the parties have effected a compromise and has placed on record copy of the same Annexure P/4 that the loss so assessed has been made good and that the complainant side has no grouse whatsoever. The same has not been opposed by the learned State counsel.

Keeping in view this compromise, the present application stands allowed. In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer and that he will abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No