

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25765 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 13, 2017**

Kamal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C are that on 13.7.2015 around 7 p.m. when the complainant ASI Baljit Singh was holding a *naka* with his police party he signalled a Innova vehicle to stop and out of which a young boy came out who after taking out a pistol fired at the Police and on reaction by the Police Gaurav @ Monu and petitioner Kamal Singh besides others were apprehended leading to recovery of a .315 bore and a .32 bore pistols respectively and it was revealed that the vehicle in question was stolen one.

The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since more than 01 year and 03 months and the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future are stoutly opposed by the learned State counsel, who has placed on record details of various other similar heinous criminal cases including Section 302 and Sections 392,394

IPC etc in all number nine so registered against the petitioner at different point of time.

Appreciating the stand of the two sides, the petitioner apparently happens to be a desperate criminal and in case he is released on bail as is the stand of the State there is every likelihood of more such crimes by him. Having regard to the criminal background of the petitioner and sensitive to social needs of the State no ground to grant bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 13, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No