

CRM-M-24880-2017

Date of Decision : 29.08.2017

Shankuntla Devi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**Present:** Mr. Rajvir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner prays for the concession of bail pending trial in FIR No.68 dated 18.06.2015 under Sections 302 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Roopnagar, District Roopnagar.

Contention on behalf of the petitioner was noted while issuing notice of motion in this case as under:-

“It is submitted that the petitioner who is the mother-in-law of the deceased has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. She was found innocent during investigation. Similarly situated co-accused was afforded the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M-7793 of 2017, titled as “Harwinder kaur @ Babli Vs. State of Punjab” (Annexure P-10).”

It is submitted that the petitioner has since appeared before the learned trial Court on 11.07.2017, pursuant to interim order dated 17.07.2017, passed by this Court. The petitioner undertakes to face trial and regularly appear before the learned trial Court on each and every date fixed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Sub Inspector Jatin Kapoor, verifies that the petitioner has indeed appeared before the learned trial Court on 11.07.2017. It is verified that she is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that she is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Interim bail afforded to the petitioner by the learned trial Court is hereby made absolute subject to the petitioner furnishing fresh bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

29.08.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No