

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

CRM-M No.2488 of 2017
Date of decision: 25.01.2017

Surinder Kumar and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Petitioners in person.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.2 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 22.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 22.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter

take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 25, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No