

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-24870 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 29th SEPTEMBER, 2017

Sajjan Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CRL. MISC. NO.M-33426 OF 2017

Sanju Kumar @ Sanju

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for petitioner-Sajjan Kumar.
 Mr. Upender Prasher, Advocate for petitioner-Sanju Kumar.

 Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed these petitions under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.216 dated 28.04.2017 registered under Sections 379-B read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar District Amritsar.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Looking to the age of the petitioners in both the petitions the counsel for the petitioners were asked to file affidavit of petitioners' fathers submitting therein that they would not hereinafter allow the

petitioners to indulge in any offence. Accordingly the affidavits have been filed by fathers of both the petitioners, which are taken on record.

Indeed the allegations against the petitioners are in the sense that they had allegedly indulged in snatching purse, the crime of this type is on the rise, but then looking to the fact that there is no other case reported against these petitioners and looking to the fact that both the cases are of same day and further the age of the petitioners, I think they should be given a chance to reform.

In that view of the matter, particularly when the affidavits have been filed, under which the fathers of the petitioners have taken their responsibility as stated in the affidavits, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. In the result, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-24870 OF 2017 and CRL. MISC. NO.33426 OF 2017 are allowed.
- (ii) The petitioners be released on bail to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate.
- (iii) The petitioners shall not tamper or influence the prosecution witness(es) in any manner.
- (iv) In case of violation of the above condition the liberty is reserved to apply for cancellation of bail.

29th SEPTEMBER, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes* *No*