Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.07.17 13:05
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-24804 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.7.2017

Karnail Singh ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner claims that he had got the land in question from respondent No. 4-Gram Panchayat, Village Mari Gurah Singh, Tehsil Patti, District Tarn Taran, and he has been delivered the possession of the same on payment of entire lease amount. Now, respondent No. 4 in connivance with other private respondents is not allowing the petitioner to enter the land and threatening him. The petitioner has already obtained stay order from the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patti, on 4.7.2017 (Annexure-P-2) by filing a civil suit for permanent injunction. It is stated that even after that order of stay, the petitioner is not being allowed to enter the land and is being threatened.

It being so, it is breach of stay order dated 4.7.2017, passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patti. The proper remedy is to approach civil court for implementation of the stay order. The present petition does not lie before this Court and is disposed of as such.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No