

CRM-M-25642-2016

Pankaj Kakkar
2017.02.14 13:28
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr.No.285)

CRM-M-25642-2016

Date of Decision:-09.02.2017.

Gurpal Singh alias Nanak

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Sumeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Amandeep S. Rehal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.0182 dated 28.06.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 427, 452 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa, Haryana, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

The parties to the dispute have now arrived at compromise. This Court had made an order dated July 29, 2016 directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements of the parties in view of the compromise and verify that whether the same is genuine or not.

Now, there is a report received from District and Sessions Judge, Sirsa showing that indeed there is a compromise (Annexure P-2)

arrived at between the parties and statements in view of compromise have been recorded and compromise that is arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.0182 dated 28.06.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 427, 452 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa, Haryana, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

February 09, 2017
pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No