

248/2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2474-2017

Date of Decision: 17.07.2017

Sukhdev Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Lakhveer Singh Bhullar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate,
Ramandeep Sandhu, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in cross-version recorded on the statements of Gurjit Singh, respondent No.2, in FIR No.5 dated 15.01.2011 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 341 & 34 of Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') registered at Police Station City Nurmahal, District Jalandhar, have prayed for quashing of FIR with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Phillaur.

During the pendency of the trial, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide compromise Annexure P-3, which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The JMJC, Phillaur vide report dated 05.04.2017 apprising this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any

pressure or coercion and that no accused is proclaimed offender out of four accused involved in the present case.

Respondents No.2 to 3 are represented by their counsel.

In view of the report of JMIC, Phillaur. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and *Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons and has signed the compromise deed, Annexure P-3.

In the circumstances, this petition is allowed. Cross-version recorded in FIR No.5 dated 15.01.2011 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 341 & 34 of IPC, Police Station City Nurmahal, District Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

17.07.2017

Dinesh

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |