

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25606-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.03.2017

HANS RAJ

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Sarvesh K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.3 to 5 to comply with the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C. read with Section 41-A Cr.P.C. and to neither harass nor call the petitioner and his sons to the police station.

In response to the notice of motion order, respondent-State has filed the reply. The petitioner was called for inquiry by the authorities on the complaint made by Madan Lal Sharma. During the pendency of the present petition, the compromise (Annexure P-2) has been reached between the parties, which reads as under:-

“Police Department F-Dasuya, Distt. Hoshiarpur

22.06.2015

Subject: Regarding Complaint No.1330 SSP

dated 01.06.2015

Sir,

The above mentioned complaint has been filed by Sh. Madan Lal Sharma son of late Mast Ram, r/o Arjuna Colony, Dasuya against Hans Raj son of Malawa Ram, r/o Dhanwa, P.S. Dasuya for recovery of Rs.11,40,000/-. In this complaint, the enquiry has been conducted.

During enquiry, it is found that Hans Raj son of Malawa Ram, r/o Dhanwa, P.S. Dasuya has taken loan of Rs.11,40,000/- from the complainant Madan Lal Sharma for his personal use, but Hans Raj has not returned the amount of Madan Lal Sharma till date. The dispute is regarding giving and taking of money. The complainant Madan Lal Sharma has got recorded his statement that regarding this complaint, he would recover the amount through the Court and therefore, his complaint may be filed. Regarding this, the statement of complainant Madan Lal Sharma is attached herewith. In view of above, the complaint of the complainant is being filed.

Report is submitted.

Sd/- D.S.P.

Sub Division, Dasuya

Dated : 09.06.2015”

In view of the compromise effected between the parties, no further action is warranted in the matter.

Disposed of.

23.03.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No