

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-25598 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 10.10.2017**

Jaswant Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.40 dated 12.06.2015 under Sections 295/506 IPC (offence under Sections 295-A/355/506 IPC deleted) registered at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is outcome of a misunderstanding and the matter has now been compromised. A copy of compromise dated 07.09.2017 is annexed as Annexure P-9.

This Court by the order dated 08.09.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kapurthala, has

sent his report dated 19.09.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding and the matter has now been compromised.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.40 dated 12.06.2015 under Sections 295/506 IPC (offence under Sections 295-A/355/506 IPC deleted) registered at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 10, 2017

shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes /	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes /	No