

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24681-2017
Date of decision-25.10.2017**

Vivek Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for quashing of FIR No.0126 dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 354-D at Police Station DLF Sector-29 Gurgaon and subsequent proceedings thereupon on the basis of compromise dated 24.04.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 22.08.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their statements recorded. On 07.10.2017, it was contended that the parties could not cause appearance before the trial Court, as inadvertently, order dated 22.08.2017 could not be communicated to the parties. Accordingly, parties were again directed to appear before the trial Court on 12.10.2017 to get their statements recorded in terms of the order dated 22.08.2017.

Today, learned counsel contends that the parties could not appear before the trial Court as complainant had to go abroad with regard to

employment. However, he asserts that compromise between the parties still subsists. He seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file afresh as and when the complainant comes back to India.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

25.10.2017
vanita

sWhether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No