

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25513-2016

Date of decision : 30.01.2017

Kuldeep Singh alias Soni

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.H.S.Aujla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.R.S.Randhawa, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

Mr.F.S.Virk, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and that his custodial interrogation is presently not required.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant however submits that the petitioner has committed a fraud with three persons, i.e. the complainant and two others and as such, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Having considered the aforesaid argument, whatever be the remedies available to the complainant on grounds of lack of proper investigation etc., without making any comment thereupon at this stage, in view of the fact that the investigating agency has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner, subject to his continuing to

join investigation, I see no reason to direct the arrest of the petitioner, in this petition at least.

Consequently without making any comment on the merits of the investigation being carried out, and leaving the complainant at liberty to take his remedy for any grievance that he may have, the order dated 04.08.2016 is made absolute at this stage, on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 30, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No