

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: April 26, 2017
CRM-M-25408 of 2016

State of HaryanaPetitioner

Versus

AmitRespondent

CRM-M-25650 of 2016

State of HaryanaPetitioner

Versus

Ajay SinghRespondent

CRM-M-25415 of 2016

State of HaryanaPetitioner

Versus

Bhuwan Singh NegiRespondent

CRM-M-26289 of 2016

State of HaryanaPetitioner

Versus

Vikash @ WhispyRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for respondent
(in CRM-M-25408 of 2016).

Mr. Ankit Singh, Advocate for respondent
(in CRM-M-25650 of 2016).

Mr. Chirag Kundu, Advocate for respondent
(in CRM-M-25415 of 2016).

Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for respondent
(in CRM-M-26289 of 2016).

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

All these petitions are being disposed of by this common

order.

Learned State counsel in all these cases submits that since investigation has been handed-over to the CBI, State of Haryana- petitioner does not want to press these petitions, which are for cancellation of bail granted by the trial Court.

Hence, these petitions are disposed of acting on the statement made by learned State counsel.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

April 26, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No