

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24520 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 18, 2017

Ravinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.449 dated 22.12.2016, under Sections 328, 379-B, 341, 392, 394, 195, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station K.U.K., District Kurukshetra.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official, states out of amount of ₹5 lakhs, an amount of ₹4.80 lakhs has been recovered.

Indeed it is true, but mere recovery of the amount would not absolve the petitioner-accused from the criminal charge and his act. However, I find that period of 7 months has already been passed from the date of his arrest. There is no criminal record against the petitioner. The trial will take its own time to conclude. In that view of the matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. Petitioner shall be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned. Petitioner shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 18, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No