

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24451-2017
Decided on: 21.07.2017**

Imran

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Tarunpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J (ORAL)

Heard Ld. Counsel for both sides.

There is no satisfactory explanation regarding the prolonged delay in lodging the FIR. A civil suit concerning the disputed property is pending, which was admittedly filed before lodging of the FIR, though subsequent to the alleged date of occurrence.

Considering these aspects, the petitioner may be admitted to anticipatory bail, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer and subject to compliance of the conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

21.07.2017

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No