

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-24384 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.07.2017

Narinder Singh @ Sona

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 43 dated 30.04.2017, registered under Sections 376, 384, 452 and 323 of IPC, at Police Station Anandpur Sahib, District Roopnagar.

It is contended that prosecutrix was a consenting party. The petitioner is unmarried whereas the prosecutrix is a married lady. The learned counsel refers to Annexure P-2 to contend a compromise has also been effected. The petitioner is in custody since 30.04.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application, however, he fairly admits the factual aspect of the matter.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 30.04.2017 and the fact that a compromise has been reached between the

parties; the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.07.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No