

243

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25253 of 2016

Date of decision: April 21, 2017

Suresh Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Since this Court found that earlier affidavit was still, this Court had made an order dated 10.03.2017 asking the State to make fresh assessment of threat perception and review the petitioner's claim.

Accordingly, learned State counsel has invited my attention to the status report dated 27.03.2017 submitted by Atma Ram, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City, Panipat that is after the order of this Court. Paras 3 and 4 thereof read thus:-

“3. That after conducting a deep enquiry about the fresh assessment of threat to the petitioner, the deponent filed his report before the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, attach copy of report, mentioning that neither any type of threat has been given to the petitioner by any one nor any application has been received in the Police Post or Police Station Panipat.

4. That it is very much pertinent to mention here that the petitioner has also filed a CWP No.26132 of 2016

titled as Suresh Verma vs. State of Haryana and others and the same has been decided by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.01.2017. The operative para of the order dated 11.01.2017 is as under:-

“Without expression of any opinion regarding the extent and nature of the threat apprehended by the petitioner, this petition is disposed of in limine with a direction to respondent no.3 to consider the claim of the petitioner determining the threat perception in accordance with rules and decide the claim of the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of two months after the receipt of certified copy of this order and communicate the decision to the petitioner.”

There is a clear-cut statement on affidavit made by him about the fresh assessment that was undertaken and there is no threat perception.

In that view of the matter, accepting the statement made in Paras 3 and 4 of the said status report, this petition is disposed of with no further order.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

April 21, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No