

268 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CRM-M No. 24354 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 19.09.2017

\*\*\*\*

Gagandeep Singh @ Ladi and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Amit Shukla, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Cheema, A.A.G., Punjab,  
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate,  
for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in D.D.R. No. 10, dated 14.05.2014, (Annexure P-2), in case F.I.R. No. 104, dated 13.05.2014, under Sections 323/324/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Morinda, District Roopnagar, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rupnagar, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. Ld. counsel for the petitioners states that it is a case of version and cross-version.

[3]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a

settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rupnagar, vide report dated 23.08.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[4]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel.

[5]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rupnagar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[6]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. D.D.R. No. 10, dated 14.05.2014, (Annexure P-2), in case F.I.R. No. 104, dated 13.05.2014, under Sections 323/324/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Morinda, District Roopnagar, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

**19.09.2017**

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No