

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.24340 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : July18, 2017

Mrs. Baagijan and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners are in person along with
Mr. Abhinay Goyal, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-21569-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The true typed copy of the aadhar card of the father of petitioner No.2 along with his affidavit is taken on record.

Main case

The true typed copy of the aadhar card of the father of petitioner No.2 has been placed on file to show that he is living in Sector 45, Burail, Chandigarh. The affidavit of father of petitioner No.2 has also been filed, stating that his son i.e. petitioner No.2 is living with him.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 05.07.2017. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure P-4. The personal affidavit of petitioner No.1 and the true typed copy of Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 06.07.2017 (Annexure-P-5) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 06.07.2017 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage. Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 18, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No