

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-24321 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 28.09.2017**

Parveen Kapil

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B. S. Sudan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.238 dated 18.05.2017 under Section 406/420/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Ambala City , District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner had not executed the deed regarding sale of her house, although complainant is stated to have paid a sum of ₹8,00,000/- to her husband in cash. He further contends that complainant has not filed any suit for specific performance, although the agreement took place on 23.01.2015. It is further stated that the matter has been compromised with the complainant.

This Court, by the order dated 12.07.2017 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Lal Chand, states that in pursuance to the order dated 12.07.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 12.07.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 28, 2017

shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO