

232.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24287-2017

Date of decision:04.08.2017.

SUPRIYA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 8.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Supriya, daughter of respondents No.4 and 5, has approached this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents i.e. respondents No.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is a graduate and doing tuition. Respondents No.4 to 8 wanted to perform her marriage with a boy who is not of her liking. Respondents have threatened and given merciless beatings to the petitioner and pressurizing her to get marriage as per their (parents') choice.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued on 11.07.2017, Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 8 and filed vakalatnama, which is taken on record. He states that though it has come to his notice that the private respondents were directed to remain present, but since they were not aware of this order, they could not come present in the court. However, the private respondents are neither threatening nor giving any beating to the petitioner and they are not interfering in the life and liberty of the petitioner in any manner. The petitioner is at liberty to do what she feels proper for her.

In view of aforesaid stand of the private respondents No.4 to 8, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition.

Dismissed of as not pressed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.08.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.