

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24197-2017
Date of Decision: 07.10.2017**

Swaran Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. B. S. Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A. S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kaavya Jariyal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 33 dated 06.05.2017, under Sections 323, 354 and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 19.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The aforesaid FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No. 2 with the allegations that her son was running a shop in the vicinity of her house. On 06.05.2017 at about 12/1.00 PM, she had gone to the shop to hand over the meal box to her son, however, when she reached there she found that the petitioners herein were already having altercation with her son. On seeing her, the said persons started beating her

son and when she tried to rescue her son, they gave her fist blows and also tore her clothes. They also threatened the complainant and her son to kill them. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baba Bakala Sahib, Amritsar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no PO proceeding is pending against either of the petitioners.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate

conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 33 dated 06.05.2017, under Sections 323, 354 and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

07.10.2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No