

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.25073 of 2016
Date of Decision: 07.02.2017**

MOHINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Jain, A.A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on
record.

Petitioner seeks cancellation of anticipatory bail granted
to respondent No.2 by alleging that the accused/ respondent
No.2 has violated one of the condition imposed while granting
benefit of anticipatory bail to him.

The FIR was registered in the context of supplying gold
to the accused/respondent No.2 and his son Suresh Mehta @

Gauri. The allegation of grabbing gold weighing 812 grams was made.

It has come on record that respondent No.2 and his son Suresh Mehta @ Gauri used to get gold ornaments prepared from complainant Mohinder Singh since the year 1995 and thereafter they stopped doing business from the year 2003 onwards. The inquiry report also revealed that there were business transactions between the parties from the year 1995 onwards which continued upto the year 2003. There was no complaint filed against the accused since the year 1995 except the present one, in the context of inducing the complainant to deliver gold to the accused.

Challan has already been presented and respondent No.2 has also furnished his bail bonds in the trial Court.

In view of aforesaid, no ground for cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 is made out. This petition is accordingly dismissed.

February 07, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No