

CRM-M-24157-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24157-2017

Date of Decision: 19.07.2017

Charan Dass @ Manni

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Charan Dass @ Manni has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.30 dated 23.03.2017, registered at Police Station Koom Kalan, District Ludhiana, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 427, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 326 IPC added later on.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record. Police record is also available.

From the record, I find that FIR was intially registered under Sections 324 IPC etc. and Section 326 IPC has been added later on.

Though the petitioner has been named in the FIR, but no role has been

CRM-M-24157-2017

attributed to him. However, specific injury with *kirch* has been attributed to him in the supplementary statement.

The petitioner has been in custody since 16.05.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case. Moreover, all the offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

19.07.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No