

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.24125 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 11, 2017

Badlu Ram Malik and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-21120-2017

Appliction is allowed as prayed for.

Annexures P-13 and P-14 are taken on record.

Main Case

Petitioners, who are property dealers have grievances against the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak and other private respondents regarding some property dispute. The petitioners claim that they had also made complaint dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure P-3) to the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, Rohtak, who is not taking any action in the matter. They have made another complaint dated 26.05.2017 (Annexure P-14) to the Director General of Police, Pachkula, Haryana.

Prayer has been made to order the inquiry to be conducted by the C.B.I. or some other senior officers on account of the fact that Superintendent of Police and IFS officer, who are influential persons, are involved.

Reliance has been placed upon the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court delivered in "*State of Punjab v Davinder Pal Singh Bhullar and others and Sumedh Singh Saini v Davinder Pal Singh Bhullar and others*", (2011) 14 Supreme Court Cases 770.

After going through the documents, I am of the view that it is not a fit case where CBI should be ordered to investigate the matter. The petitioners have some grievances, which are yet to be verified.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the Director General of Police, Haryana to look into the grievances of the petitioners as raised in the complainant dated 26.05.2017 (Annexure P-14) and take action in accordance with law within three months, without being influenced by the fact that the petitioners had approached this Court.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No