

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.24103 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 11, 2017

Harwinder Singh @ Heera

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that he had given representation dated 26.06.2017 (Annexure P-1) addressed to the S.H.O., P.S. Kathu Nangal making a complaint. However, no copy of the complaint made to the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) has been placed on file.

In case, the S.H.O., P.S. Kathu Nangal, had not looked in to the matter, the petitioner was supposed to approach the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural).

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to approach the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) with the complaint and the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) is directed to look into the complaint on merits without being influenced by the fact that the petitioner has approached this Court.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

July 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No