

CRM-M-24091-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24091-2017

Date of Decision:14.07.2017

Nirbhay Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Nirbhay Singh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.67 dated 10.06.2017, registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, under Sections 323 and 343 IPC and Sections 324 and 326 IPC added later on.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They have contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-24091-2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that it is a case of version and cross-version and the petitioner has also received injuries.

However, learned State counsel submitted that cross-version has not been registered and injuries on the person of petitioner, as alleged, are simple linear abrasions. The present petitioner has caused three injuries to Gurdeep Singh with spade and grievous injury is also attributed to him.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the facts that the present petitioner is the main accused and has caused grievous injury and weapon used in the offence is yet to be recovered, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

14.07.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No