

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: 10.05.2017
CRM-M-24946-2016 (O&M)**

1.

Rajnesh Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Monika Sharma and another

...Respondents

2.

CRR-2640-2016 (O&M)

Rajnesh Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Monika Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

**Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate,
for respondent No.1 (in CRM-M-24946-2016).**

Ritu Bahri, J.

This order will dispose of CRM-M-24946-2016 and CRR-2640-2016 together as common questions of law and facts are involved in both the petitions.

CRM-M-24946-2016 has been filed against the order dated 05.04.2016 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri upholding the order dated 29.09.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, whereby petitioner-husband has been directed to pay maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per month each to respondent No.1-wife and minor child-

respondent No.2.

CRR-2640-2016 has been filed against the judgment dated 25.04.2016 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri and order dated 29.09.2015 passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri.

Notice of motion was issued on 09.08.2016 (in CRM-M-24946-2016), as petitioner-husband was ready to pay lump sum amount of Rs.5,00,000/- to respondent No.1-wife as permanent settlement. Finally, on 21.12.2016, Monika Sharma-respondent No.1 tendered her affidavit in Court stating therein that she had agreed to accept an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- for minor child namely Arnav Sharma and Rs.2,00,000/- for herself as full and final settlement. They had agreed to file a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage with mutual consent. A demand draft bearing No.534094 dated 16.12.2016 for a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- was handed over to respondent No.1 in the Court and another draft of Rs.2,00,000/- was to be given at the time of second motion of petition under Section 13-B of the Act.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has informed the Court that all the conditions of compromise have been complied with.

Since the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties, both these petitions i.e. CRM-M-24946-2016 and CRR-2640-2016 are disposed of in view of the compromise.

10.05.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No