

265

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CRM-M No. 23998 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 30.10.2017

\*\*\*\*

Gurmukh Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate, for  
Mr. Sandeep Chopra, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,  
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Tapish Gupta, Advocate,  
for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No. 0118, dated 26.06.2017, under Sections 459, 341, 325, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide

Affidavit (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patiala, vide report dated 05.08.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel.

[4]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patiala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 0118, dated 26.06.2017, under Sections 459, 341, 325, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

30.10.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No