

265

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24840 of 2016

Date of decision: February 08, 2017

Ram Dayal Garg and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. A.K. Khunger, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In DDR No.21 dated 17.03.2015, under Section 66 of the Police Act, 1861 (for short 'Police Act') registered at Police Station City-2 Abohar, District Fazilka, petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 23.07.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Abohar stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntary and genuine.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. DDR

No.21 dated 17.03.2015, under Section 66 of the Police Act, registered

at Police Station City-2 Abohar, District Fazilka alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 08, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No