

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23865-2015 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 30.11.2017

Amit Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepam Raghav, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that parties have effected the compromise.

If that is the position, learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to file appropriate application before the trial Court for compounding the offences.

If such an application is filed before the trial Court, the same shall be decided in accordance with law

As, the such application is to be given to the trial Court, therefore, the order dated 23.07.2015 staying further proceedings before the trial Court, is vacated.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

30.11.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	No
	Whether reportable	:	No