

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-1094-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2017**

NARENDER

... Appellant(s)

Versus

MUKESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate (Amicus Curiae)
for the appellant.

Mr. Paul S. Saini, Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate
for the insurance company.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(oral)

The appellant is aggrieved of the dismissal of the claim petition. He was seeking compensation on account of damages to the car.

I have heard both the sides and I find no merit in the appeal for the reasons that the appellant had failed to show that he was the owner of the car. The Tribunal had exhaustively dealt with this in para 13 of the award and had noted that though it was orally claimed to be owned by him but the appellant failed to place the registration certificate or the insurance policy or any other document. Since the appellant was not the owner, therefore, he was not entitled to any compensation.

There is no merit in the appeal and is dismissed.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

29.09.2017

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No