

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-23748 of 2015
Date of Decision : 25.07.2017

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to official respondents not to conduct multiple enquiries against him on the complaint of respondent No. 5 as he has been habitually sending baseless complaints.

Reply in the form of affidavit of Superintendent of Police (Investigation), District Ferozepur has been filed, wherein, it is stated that the petitioner was earlier summoned with regard to the complaints. The petitioner was not harassed as neither any case nor any FIR was registered against him in the police station. It is further stated in the affidavit that the complainant was found to have been given false complaints against the petitioner time and again and, therefore, re-commendation had been made to initiate proceeding under Section 182 IPC against the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner apprehends that he may again be summoned by the official respondents with

regard to the same allegations, which were leveled earlier in the complaints filed by respondent No. 5.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that the petitioner will not be summoned by the police with regard to the similar allegations, which were the subject matter of the earlier complaints filed by respondent No. 5 against him and found to be false.

Therefore, as the complaints against the petitioner have been found to be false and the official respondents are initiating action against respondent No. 5, no further directions are called for in the instant petition.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 25, 2017
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether reportable? No