

CRM-M-2379-2017

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr.No.259)

CRM-M-2379-2017

Date of Decision:-May 31, 2017

Rajwinder Singh @ Bittu

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.97 dated 24.08.2016 registered under Sections 365/506/120-B/148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated February 20, 2017 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Moga showing that

the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.97 dated 24.08.2016 registered under Sections 365/506/120-B/148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 31, 2017

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No