

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.283)

CRM-M-24646-2016

Date of Decision:-April 27, 2017

Ramesh Chaurasia

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for petitioner.

In FIR No.766 dated 14.11.2014 registered under Sections 418, 420, 468, 471 and 120 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Section 66 of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 and Sections 3/4 of the Public Gambling Act, 1867 at Police Station Ballabgarh City, District Faridabad, the petitioner is being prosecuted. From the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is clear that number of facts are involved and at any rate the entire challan will have to be looked into. The best course for the petitioner is to oppose the framing of charge and thereby get himself discharged, if the petitioner is right in what he is contending. In that view of the matter, the petitioner having the remedy of opposing the framing the charge against him, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to hear the petitioner on the question of framing of the charge within three months from today.

All contentions that may be raised by the learned counsel for the parties are kept open.

Disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017

pankaj

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No