

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-23708 of 2017  
Date of Decision : 07.07.2017

Mohan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

*CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL*

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

*Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)*

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 68, dated 12.05.2017, under Sections 20/61/85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, registered at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that recovery of 140 kg. of Gaanja has been effected from the house of Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha. He further contends that the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha that he has purchased Gaanja from the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The allegations against the petitioner are rather serious. In the FIR itself the statement of Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha from whose possession 140 kg. of Gaanja was recovered, is mentioned, wherein he has stated that Gaanja was sold to him by the petitioner and they were to sell the same and distribute the profit among themselves. The petitioner is also accused in another FIR bearing No. 137, dated 20.08.2016, under Sections

20/61/85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, wherein recovery of 700 gms. of Gaanja was effected from him. He is stated to be on bail in that case.

Therefore, without making any observation on the merits of the case, I do not find it to be a fit case to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 07, 2017**  
*kanchan*

|                                   |            |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Whether speaking/reasoned?</b> | <b>Yes</b> |
| <b>Whether reportable?</b>        | <b>No</b>  |