

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24587-2016

Date of Decision:- 19.05.2017

Rinku @ Kamal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present petition for seeking grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.44 dated 19.06.2016, under Sections 376, 420 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Shahpurkandi, Tehsil and District Pathankot.

Pursuant to order dated 14.02.2017 of this Court, learned State counsel has filed the status report with respect to investigation on the complaint (Annexure P-2) whereby as per statement (Annexure R-2/T) of the prosecutrix only ₹4,70,000/- has been taken from her and the allegation of rape was not mentioned by her in her initial statement in order to save her dignity. Statement of Manoj Kumar, owner of A-one *Dhaba*, has been

petitioner-Rinku @ Kamal came to his *Dhaba* with one lady, namely, Nirmal Kaur on his auto-rickshaw and the said lady had come from Amritsar. He had identified Nirmal Kaur, who had come to his *Dhaba* with petitioner-Rinku @ Kamal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prosecutrix was a married lady of 35 years of age and she had accompanied the petitioner with her own will.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, order dated 22.07.2016, passed by this Court whereby the ad-interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

May 19, 2017
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No