

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.23696 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 16, 2017

Bachitar Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Navjeet Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-25422-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The medical record of respondent No.3 is taken on record as Annexures P-12 to P-14.

Main Case

The grouse of the petitioner is that the petitioner was booked in case FIR No.46, dated 18.11.2016, under Sections 325, 323, 308 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Taragrh, District Pathankot. It is stated that now the challan has been presented and is pending before the Sessions Court, Pathankot, which is now fixed for framing of charges.

The plea of the petitioner is that the medical report was procured to make out the offence punishable under Section 308 IPC. The petitioner wants the said offence to be deleted or in the alternative make an inquiry before the Sessions Court or the Chief Judicial Magistrate's court qua the insertion of Section 308 IPC.

I am of the view that the Sessions Court is already seized of the matter regarding framing of the charges. This Court cannot interfere in the matter at this stage. Let the petitioner raise all the available pleas before the Sessions Court, where the matter is pending.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 16, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No