

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23486-2017
Date of decision-06.07.2017**

**Deepak @ Sunny
Vs.
State of Punjab**

**...Petitioner

...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.B.S.Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.163 dated 17.12.2016 registered under Sections 326, 323 and 324 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The main co-accused, namely, Rimpay and Rahul have already been admitted to regular bail by the trial Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

A bare perusal of the FIR reveals that the petitioner along with other co-accused armed with datar and other deadly weapon caused as many as 15 injuries on the person of the complainant. Out of 15 injuries, three injuries were declared to be grievous in nature.

Keeping in view the alleged participation and nature of injuries, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out. This Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

July 06, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No