

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.2338 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.01.2017**

PARAMJIT KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gutpa, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.110 dated 09.11.2016, registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station G.R.P., Bathinda, District Bathinda.

The FIR was registered on the statement of Kamaljit Kaur widow of Gurlal Singh. Petitioner is the mother of Gurlal Singh. Complainant alleged that mother-in-law of the complainant after the death of father-in-law of the complainant, started living with one Gurdev Singh without any marriage. Even two children namely Balwinder Singh (son) and Harwinder Kaur

(daughter) were born out of their relationship. After the birth of these children, accused started pressuring the husband of the complainant to sell his share of land for marrying her daughter. On 19.08.2016 at about 12 'o' clock in the noon, there was a quarrel in the house in which husband of the complainant stated that he will commit suicide due to on-going controversy by jumping before the train. The petitioner stated that instead of jumping before the train tomorrow, he should jump before the train on that day itself. Ultimately, husband of the complainant committed suicide by jumping before the train.

Learned counsel for the petitioner by referring to the medical record of Sanjivani Hospital stated that the husband of the complainant i.e. son of the petitioner was diagnosed as patient of 'seizure disorder' and was being treated regularly in different hospitals. He further stated that the ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC are not attracted.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, I am of the view that petitioner being mother of the deceased, who is in custody since 10.11.2016 can be enlarged on bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, subject to her furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial

Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

January 30, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No