

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. M – 28066 of 2010 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 21.08.2017.**

Manvinder Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

U.T. Chandigarh & Anr.

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Chahal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Shekeher Dhawan, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. On the last previous dates also i.e. on 03.05.2016 and 07.09.2016, there was no representation from the petitioner's side. Earlier also, present petition was dismissed on account of non-appearance and non-prosecution vide order dated 10.04.2013 and subsequently the same was restored.

In view of above, it seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

August 21, 2017

tripti

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	YES/NO
Whether reportable	:	YES/NO