

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.2334 of 2017
Date of Decision: 30.01.2017**

INSAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.658 dated 28.11.2016, registered under Sections 323 and 506 IPC and under Section 13(2) of the Haryana Gouvansh Sanrakshan & Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

Allegations against the petitioner are that the complainant Madhav alleged that on 27.11.2016 at about 11.00 p.m., he received an information that three persons while beating two bulls were going on foot from village Tarpur to Uttar Pradesh. The animals were being taken for slaughtering. The

complainant sent information to the cow protectors and thereafter persons namely Dharam singh and Rampal Singh came to him and then they proceeded towards *kacha rasta*. They found three persons going with the animals. On enquiry, they disclosed their names as Insar, Naushad and Dalbir @ Dalla.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that in fact the petitioner and others are involved in purchase of *Paralis* from different villages and they used to stock the same in village Ballah. Bullock-cart was also recovered from some distance from where the accused were intercepted. Learned counsel further stated that the challan has already been presented and the case is fixed for framing of charge.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sunder Singh conceded that there is no other case pending against the petitioner in the Police Station Gharaunda.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, I am of the view that since the case is triable by the Magistrate and the petitioner is in custody since 28.11.2016, the benefit of regular bail can be given to the petitioner.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, subject to his furnishing

bail bonds and heavy local surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

January 30, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No