

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2333-2017  
Date of decision-02.02.2017**

**Lalit Gupta and another  
Vs.  
State of Haryana**

**...Petitioners  
  
...Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Sumit, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.783 dated 24.12.2016 registered under Sections 365, 342 and 379-A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Surajkund, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and name of the petitioners have come on record on the basis of the disclosure statements of co-accused, Nitin Wadhwa and Arpit Satija. Learned counsel further states that no case under Section 365 IPC is made out against the petitioners.

I have heard learned counsel for appearing on behalf of the petitioners and have gone through the case file.

The complainant is a foreign national who was on way to meet her sister, the petitioners allegedly offered lift to her and thereafter, she was taken to various destinations and allegedly her belongings were snatched.

She was allegedly kept in confinement for about five hours. There are serious allegations against the petitioners. This Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required to unearth the truth.

In the circumstances, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 02, 2017**  
vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |