

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-23247 of 2017
Date of decision : 10.07.2017**

Jaideep

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.168 dated 19.09.2016, registered under Sections 342, 363, 366-A, 376, 120-B, 34 IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, Police Station Loharu, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Vijender is the main accused and the petitioner is in custody since 25.09.2016 and the complainant and the prosecutrix has been examined. Counsel further submits that the victim was forcibly kept in a room and the only role attributed is that the petitioner had provided food to her.

The State counsel states that no allegation has been made against the petitioner under Section 376 IPC.

Allegations of rape have not been levelled against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 25.09.2016. The main witnesses

have been examined. The trial will take time. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

10.07.2017
sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No