

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23246-2017

Date of decision: July 11, 2017.

Rahul Sharma @ Rocky

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Shri Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. Dy. AG, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.99 dated 26.7.2016 under Sections 302, 307, 452, 324, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Salem Haibowal, Ludhiana.

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. Perused the evidence projected against the petitioner by the prosecution. Statements of the witnesses were recorded almost after a period of eight months by way of supplementary statements. Investigation has been completed but challan has not been filed.

Looking to the prima facie case for grant of bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. However, the interest of the prosecution will have to be protected. The prosecution witnesses reside at village Malakpur, District Ludhiana. Learned Counsel for the petitioner makes a statement on instructions from the petitioner that the petitioner will not enter Ludhiana or the villages adjacent to Ludhiana and shall reside at

village Sakri, PO Rehan, District Kangra (HP) and shall report at PS Haibowal on every Saturday at 10 AM till the recording of the evidence of the prosecution witnesses before the trial court, save and except for the dates before the trial court.

The petition is allowed with above conditions.

July 11, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No